UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/732,827	12/10/2003	Ann Marie Przepasniak	KCX-660 (19116)	6772
22827 7590 04/18/2007 DORITY & MANNING, P.A.			EXAMINER	
POST OFFICE	BOX 1449		CHAPMAN, GINGER T	
, OKEENVILLE	E, SC 29602-1449		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			. 3761	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	04/18/2007 PAPER		ER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	•	St				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/732,827	PRZEPASNIAK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ginger T. Chapman	3761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		,				
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 November 2006</u>.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 and 7 is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,5,6 and 8-16 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	*				
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10 December 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/732,827

Art Unit: 3761

# **DETAILED ACTION**

# Status of the claims

Claims 1-16 are pending in the application; claims 4 and 7 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a nonelected species.

# Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ormum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-3, 5-6 and 8-16 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1-22 of U. S. Patent No. 7,138,560 B2 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

The instant specification, at pp. 13-18, describes the substantially identical article comprising the substantially identical cover sheet, back sheet and absorbent material and formed in the same manner as disclosed in 7,138,560 at from col. 7, l. 8 to col. 9, l. 30. The only difference is that the instant disclosure claims the invention in term of buoyancy and water vapor transmission rate properties, i.e. the article is initially neutrally buoyant in order to travel through plumbing, then sinks and disperses within 7 days, while 7,138,560 describes the identical article in terms of dispersion properties, the article travels through plumbing, then sinks and disperses within 7 days (col. 14, l. 37).

Claims 1-3, 5-6 and 8-16 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1-23 of copending Application No. 10/326,912. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

The instant specification, at pp. 13-18, describes the substantially identical article comprising the substantially identical cover sheet, back sheet and absorbent material and formed in the same manner as disclosed in 10/326,912 at [0036-0052]. The only difference is that the

Art Unit: 3761

instant disclosure claims the invention in term of buoyancy and water vapor transmission rate properties, i.e. the article is initially neutrally buoyant in order to travel through plumbing, then sinks and disperses within 7 days, while copending application describes the identical article in terms of dispersion properties, the article travels through plumbing, then sinks and disperses within 7 days [0005, 0030, 0064, 0082-3].

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5, 6 and 8-16 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

# Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ginger T. Chapman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4934. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tatyana Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/732,827 Page 5

Art Unit: 3761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ginger Chapman Examiner, Art Unit 3761

04/06/07

Muduell M. Kidwell Primary Examiner